

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/089,135	PHELAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** finally rejected

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Howard Cohn. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*all*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested reciting in the specification and claims that the arcuately-shaped side of the patch is convex (supported by original Figures 1 and 2) in order to overcome the prior art rejections of record.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)